

*[No Minutes Were Generated on Thursday and Friday, November 22 and 23,  
Due to the Court's Observance of the Thanksgiving Holidays.]*

2005

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 2001  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S022481 People, Respondent

v.

Martin Anthony Navarette, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including January 14, 2002.

S024416 People, Respondent

v.

Dellano Cleveland and Chauncey Jamal Veasley, Appellants

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David Joseph Macher's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Chauncy Jamal Veasley's opening brief by February 28, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 28, 2002. After that date, only 1 further extension totaling 31 additional days will be granted.

S034704 People, Respondent

v.

Charles Stevens, Appellant

The application of appellant for extension of time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is denied.

S035769 People, Respondent

v.

James Matthew Heard, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including January 28, 2002.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S037006 People, Respondent

v.

Michael James Huggins, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Allan Yannow's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by March 21, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 20, 2001. After that date, only 2 further extensions totaling 90 additional days are contemplated.

S045504 People, Respondent

v.

Hooman A. Panah, Appellant

Request for extension to file appellant's opening brief is denied.

S056891 People, Respondent

v.

James A. Thompson, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including January 22, 2002, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on the Supreme Court upon its filing in the trial court.

S100542 In re Bill Bradford

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including December 10, 2001.

S100932 In re Barry Glenn Williams

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David A. Wildman's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by February 27, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 28, 2001. After that date, only 2 further extensions totaling 60 additional days are contemplated.

S086596 In re **Steven J. Barth** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Steven J. Barth, State Bar No. 104204**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination and until he provides proof of attending a session of the State Bar Ethics School and passing the test given at the end of such session, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years subject to the conditions of probation, including 320 days actual suspension and until he provides proof of taking and passing the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination and until he provides proof of attending a session of the State Bar Ethics School and passing the test given at the end of such session, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on August 14, 2001, as modified by its order filed September 28, 2001. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)